

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,556	06/16/2006	Victor Casana Giner	38438.00.0002	7869
23418 VEDDER PRI	7590 06/14/201 ICE P.C	EXAMINER		
222 N. LASAI	LLE STREET	YOUNG, MICAH PAUL		
CHICAGO, II	. 60601		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/14/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/596,556	CASANA GINER ET AL	CASANA GINER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
MICAH-PAUL YOUNG	1618			

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	MICAH-PAUL YOUNG	1618					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - I NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply whith the set or standed period for reply will by these date, cause the napidisation be become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earend patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b).							
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet are subject to	wn from consideration.	ement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according according to the specific property and according to the specific property and specific property according to the specific property according to the specific property and specific property according to the specific property a	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	a 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/06) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Ivotice of Informal F 6) Other:	ite					

Application No. 10/596,556

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1,2,4,5,8,12,14,23,25,27,28,30,34,36-38,40,43-45,48,53,57.58,72.80 and 81.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims subject to restriction and/or election requirement are 1,2,4,5,8,12,14,23,25,27,28,30,34,36-38,40,43-45,48,53,57,58,72,80 and 81.

Art Unit: 1618

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 12, 14, 23-25, 37, 38, 40, 43, 44, 45 and 48, drawn to a continuous method of making microcapsules comprising at least 5 steps or heating, mixing, cooling and quenching.

Group II, claim(s) 27, 28, 30, 34, 36, 53, 57, 58, 72, 80 and 81, drawn to microcapsules.

The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the microcapsules of Group II can be formulated in any method including extrusion or solvent extraction not requiring the five steps of Group I requiring deagglomeration or a continuous process. This extrusion process can be seen in the 5418010 patent where microcapsules are formulated by way of extrusion, followed by milling (Examples).

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

A selection must be made between the numerous hydrocolloid species of claims 5 and 12; the numerous species of biologically active compounds found in claim 25, claim 37: (a) flavenoids, (b) phenolic acids, (c) esctructurally combined amides, (d) terpenoids, (e) commonly synthesized antioxidants, (f) alpha-lipoic acid and (g) mineral and oligoelements that are supported in the specification; wherein a species selection is made from one of the subspecies for

Art Unit: 1618

each group, since the species have separate and distinct chemical function and composition; the herbs of claim 38; the probiotic bacteria of claim 40; or the compounds of claim 43, along with a corresponding fatty acid addition in claims 44 and 45. A selection must be made between the numerous species of claim 48: (a) vegetable origin, (b) algae species, (c) animal origin, and (d) microbial origin compounds that are supported by the specification. In conclusion Application must select one (1) hydrocolloid from claim 5 and 12; a single biologically active compound from claims 25, 37, 38, 40 and 43. If a species selection is made from claim 37, a subspecies must also be made. If a selection is made of one (1) of the compounds of claim 43, a corresponding fatty acid selection must be made from claims 44 and 45. Regardless of the biologically active selection, an additional selection of one (1) unsaturated long chain fatty acids of claim 48 must be made.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claim(s) are generic: 1, 2, 4, 14, 23, and 24

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Application/Control Number: 10/596,556 Page 4

Art Unit: 1618

These claims require the use of at least on the species selection in the recited method. The method requires at least one hydrocolloid, one biologically active substance and one unsaturated

fatty acid.

The following claim(s) are generic: 1 and 2.

REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention

only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept

("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage

application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical

relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special

technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features

that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes

over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general

inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate

claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

WHEN CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO MULTIPLE CATEGORIES OF INVENTIONS

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to

different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are

drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

(1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or

Application/Control Number: 10/596,556 Pa
Art Unit: 1618

(2) A product and process of use of said product; or

- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

A telephone call was made to Michael Turgeon on 6/10/10 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Art Unit: 1618

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof. Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102,

Art Unit: 1618

103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICAH-PAUL YOUNG whose telephone number is (571)272-0608. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-5:30; every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Hartley can be reached on 571-272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael G. Hartley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1618

/MICAH-PAUL YOUNG/ Examiner, Art Unit 1618